

The Deputy Director of Central Intelligence

Washington, D. C. 20505

DCI/ICS 83-4054

5 December 1983

MEMORANDUM FOR: See Distribution

SUBJECT: Request for Review of Proposed FY 85
COMPUSEC Budget Alternatives

25X1 1. I have asked [] and her computer security (COMPUSEC) project
25X1 team to provide me a point paper on the impact of reducing the proposed FY
1985 COMPUSEC budget requests within the NFIP. The total request for FY 1985
is []

2. Please review the attached paper and provide your recommendations to
me by 9 December 1983. The security of our automated systems is one of my
highest priorities and it will be a major challenge for the 1980s.

25X1 []
C John N. McMahon

Attachment: a/s

Distribution:

- 1 - DIRNSA
- 1 - DIR/DIA
- 1 - ExDIR/CIA
- 1 - D/INR
- 1 - DDCI
- 1 - Executive Registry

25X1 []

SECRET

POINT PAPER FOR DDCI REGARDING
FY 1985 COMPUSEC BUDGET

● Talking Points:

25X1 -- The [] proposed COMPUSEC budget for FY 85 represents an initial start in the areas of highest priority (e.g., access control, automated labeling, auditing, security planning and testing) and some of the critical systems.

25X1 -- There are no items or projects in the [] budget for which equipment and programs have not been identified (i.e., the money can be spent).

-- Some reductions can be made without emasculating the program. These are enumerated in terms of three stages of phase down to the COMPUSEC budget per the attachment.

● Observations:

-- In reviewing the GDIP and comparing it to the other budgets, the GDIP figure should be divided by four; the GDIP is made up of major budget elements (i.e., DIA, Army, Navy, Air Force Intelligence). From this perspective, the GDIP is very much in line with the CIAP and CCP.

-- In reviewing the State INR budget, State really needs to bite the bullet on its COMPUSEC budget.

SECRET

Page Denied

Next 2 Page(s) In Document Denied